

On the optimal compression of sets in P, NP, P/poly, PSPACE/poly

Marius Zimand

Towson University

CCR 2012- Cambridge

The language compression problem

- If A is computably enumerable, then for every $x \in A$

$$C(x) \leq \log |A^{=n}| + O(\log n)$$

- description of x : index of x in an enumeration of $A^{=n}$.
- But enumeration is slow.

The language compression problem

- If A is computably enumerable, then for every $x \in A$

$$C(x) \leq \log |A^{=n}| + O(\log n)$$

- description of x : index of x in an enumeration of $A^{=n}$.
- But enumeration is slow.
- **Is there a time-bounded Kolmogorov complexity version of the above fact?**

Distinguishing complexity [Sipser 83]

Informal Definition

$CD^t(x)$ = length of the shortest program that accepts x and only x and runs in $t(|x|)$ time.

Distinguishing complexity [Sipser 83]

Informal Definition

$CD^t(x)$ = length of the shortest program that accepts x and only x and runs in $t(|x|)$ time.

Formal Definition

$CD^t(x) = |p|$, p is the shortest program such that

$$\begin{aligned}U(p, x) &= \text{YES}, \\U(p, y) &= \text{NO}, \text{ for all } y \neq x \\U(p, x) &\text{ halts in } t(|p| + |x|) \text{ steps}\end{aligned}$$

(U is a universal Turing machine)

Distinguishing complexity [Sipser 83]

Informal Definition

$CD^t(x)$ = length of the shortest program that accepts x and only x and runs in $t(|x|)$ time.

Formal Definition

$CD^t(x) = |p|$, p is the shortest program such that

$$\begin{aligned}U(p, x) &= \text{YES}, \\U(p, y) &= \text{NO}, \text{ for all } y \neq x \\U(p, x) &\text{ halts in } t(|p| + |x|) \text{ steps}\end{aligned}$$

(U is a universal Turing machine)

$CD^{t,A}(x)$ - U uses oracle A .

$CND^{t,A}(x)$ - U is nondeterministic, $CAMD^{t,A}(x)$ - U is Arthur-Merlin machine (randomized + nondeterministic), $CBPD^{t,A}$ - U is randomized with bounded error.

What is known:

[Buhrman, Fortnow, Laplante, 2001]: For any set A , for every $x \in A$

$$CD^{\text{poly}, A}(x) \leq 2 \log |A|^n + O(\log n)$$

What is known:

[Buhrman, Fortnow, Laplante, 2001]: For any set A , for every $x \in A$

$$CD^{\text{poly},A}(x) \leq 2 \log |A|^n + O(\log n)$$

[Buhrman, Laplante, Miltersen, 2000]: For some sets A , 2 is necessary.

What is known (cont.):

If we allow nonuniformity

[Sipser, 1983] $\forall A, \exists$ advice w of length $\text{poly}(n)$, $\forall x \in A$

$$\text{CD}^{\text{poly}, A}(x \mid w) \leq \log |A|^n + O(\log n)$$

What is known (cont.):

If we allow nonuniformity

[Sipser, 1983] $\forall A, \exists$ advice w of length $\text{poly}(n)$, $\forall x \in A$

$$\text{CD}^{\text{poly}, A}(x | w) \leq \log |A^{=n}| + O(\log n)$$

If we allow some error:

[Buhrman, Fortnow, Laplante, 2001]

$\forall A, \forall \epsilon, \forall x \in A^{=n}$ except ϵ fraction,

$$\text{CD}^{\text{poly}, A}(x) \leq \log |A^{=n}| + O(\log n)$$

What is known (cont.):

If we allow nondeterminism:

[Buhrman, Lee, van Melkebeek, 2005]

$\forall A, \forall x \in A$

$$\text{CND}^{\text{poly}, A}(x) \leq \log |A^{=n}| + O((\sqrt{\log |A^{=n}|} + \log n) \log n)$$

What is known (cont.):

If we allow nondeterminism:

[Buhrman, Lee, van Melkebeek, 2005]

$\forall A, \forall x \in A$

$$\text{CND}^{\text{poly}, A}(x) \leq \log |A^{=n}| + O((\sqrt{\log |A^{=n}|} + \log n) \log n)$$

If we allow randomization + nondeterminism:

[Buhrman, Lee, van Melkebeek, 2005]

$\forall A, \forall x \in A$

$$\text{CAMD}^{\text{poly}, A}(x) \leq \log |A^{=n}| + O(\log^3 n)$$

What is known (cont.):

If we allow nondeterminism:

[Buhrman, Lee, van Melkebeek, 2005]

$\forall A, \forall x \in A$

$$\text{CND}^{\text{poly}, A}(x) \leq \log |A^{\neg n}| + O((\sqrt{\log |A^{\neg n}|} + \log n) \log n)$$

If we allow randomization + nondeterminism:

[Buhrman, Lee, van Melkebeek, 2005]

$\forall A, \forall x \in A$

$$\text{CAMD}^{\text{poly}, A}(x) \leq \log |A^{\neg n}| + O(\log^3 n)$$

If we allow only randomization, compression can fail

[Buhrman, Lee, van Melkebeek, 2005]

$\forall n, t, k < c_1 n - c_2 \log t, t, \exists A$ with $\log |A^{\neg n}| = k, \forall x \in A$

$$\text{CBPD}^{t, A}(x) \geq 2 \log |A^{\neg n}| - c_3$$

QUESTION: For what sets A , can we get optimal compression:

$$\forall x \in A^n, CD^{\text{poly}, A}(x) \leq \log |A^n| + O(\log n). \quad (*)$$

QUESTION: For what sets A , can we get optimal compression:

$$\forall x \in A^n, CD^{\text{poly}, A}(x) \leq \log |A^n| + O(\log n). \quad (*)$$

ANSWER: Using a reasonable assumption, (*) holds for every A in PSPACE/poly.

Last year (FCT'2011), I used a method using 2 steps.

Step 1: non-explicit extractors made partially explicit using Nisan pseudo-random generator for constant-depth circuits.

Step 2: Nisan-Wigderson pseudo-random generator assuming a certain hardness assumption.

Vinodchandran suggested the following simpler proof for Step 1: extractors are replaced by 2-wise independent distributions.

PROOF for $A \in P/\text{poly}$

P/poly = class of sets decidable in polynomial time with polynomial advice.
= class of sets decidable by polynomial-size circuits.

PROOF for $A \in P/\text{poly}$

P/poly = class of sets decidable in polynomial time with polynomial advice.
= class of sets decidable by polynomial-size circuits.

Let $A \in P/\text{poly}$ and $x \in A^n$.

Let $k = \lceil \log |A^n| \rceil$.

PROOF for $A \in P/\text{poly}$

P/poly = class of sets decidable in polynomial time with polynomial advice.
= class of sets decidable by polynomial-size circuits.

Let $A \in P/\text{poly}$ and $x \in A^n$.

Let $k = \lceil \log |A^n| \rceil$.

Suppose we find $h : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{k+1}$, poly-time computable given $|h|$ bits of information, which isolates x in A :

$$\forall y \in A^n \setminus \{x\}, h(y) \neq h(x).$$

Then, h and $h(x)$ distinguishes x among the strings in A^n .

PROOF for $A \in P/\text{poly}$

P/poly = class of sets decidable in polynomial time with polynomial advice.
= class of sets decidable by polynomial-size circuits.

Let $A \in P/\text{poly}$ and $x \in A^n$.

Let $k = \lceil \log |A^n| \rceil$.

Suppose we find $h : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{k+1}$, poly-time computable given $|h|$ bits of information, which isolates x in A :

$$\forall y \in A^n \setminus \{x\}, h(y) \neq h(x).$$

Then, h and $h(x)$ distinguishes x among the strings in A^n .

$$CD^{\text{poly}, A}(x) \leq (k + 1) + |h| + O(\log n) = \log |A^n| + |h| + O(\log n).$$

PROOF for $A \in P/\text{poly}$

P/poly = class of sets decidable in polynomial time with polynomial advice.
= class of sets decidable by polynomial-size circuits.

Let $A \in P/\text{poly}$ and $x \in A^n$.

Let $k = \lceil \log |A^n| \rceil$.

Suppose we find $h : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{k+1}$, poly-time computable given $|h|$ bits of information, which isolates x in A :

$$\forall y \in A^n \setminus \{x\}, h(y) \neq h(x).$$

Then, h and $h(x)$ distinguishes x among the strings in A^n .

$$CD^{\text{poly}, A}(x) \leq (k + 1) + |h| + O(\log n) = \log |A^n| + |h| + O(\log n).$$

To finish the proof, I need h that isolates x in A and $|h| = O(\log n)$.

PROOF for $A \in P/\text{poly}$ (cont.)

Problem

$k = \lceil \log |A^{-n}| \rceil$, $x \in A^{-n}$.

Find $h : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{k+1}$ that isolates x and $|h|$ is $O(\log n)$.

PROOF for $A \in P/\text{poly}$ (cont.)

Problem

$k = \lceil \log |A^{-n}| \rceil$, $x \in A^{-n}$.

Find $h : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{k+1}$ that isolates x and $|h|$ is $O(\log n)$.

If we choose h randomly,

$$\text{Prob}_h[h(x) = h(y)] = \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \text{ (for any fixed } y \neq x)$$

$$\text{Prob}_h[\exists y \in A^{-n} \setminus \{x\}, h(x) = h(y)] \leq 2^k \cdot \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} = \frac{1}{2}$$

So, with probability $\geq 1/2$, h isolates x .

But $|h| = 2^n \cdot (k + 1)$.

PROOF for $A \in P/\text{poly}$ (cont.)

Problem

$k = \lceil \log |A^n| \rceil$, $x \in A^n$.

Find $h : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{k+1}$ that isolates x and $|h|$ is $O(\log n)$.

STEP 1 (reduction using 2-wise distributions):

- h only needs to be 2-wise independent.

PROOF for $A \in P/\text{poly}$ (cont.)

Problem

$k = \lceil \log |A^{-n}| \rceil$, $x \in A^{-n}$.

Find $h : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{k+1}$ that isolates x and $|h|$ is $O(\log n)$.

STEP 1 (reduction using 2-wise distributions):

- h only needs to be 2-wise independent.
- Take h a random linear function (i.e., a random k -by- n matrix).
- h is 2-wise independent.

PROOF for $A \in P/\text{poly}$ (cont.)

Problem

$k = \lceil \log |A^{-n}| \rceil$, $x \in A^{-n}$.

Find $h : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{k+1}$ that isolates x and $|h|$ is $O(\log n)$.

STEP 1 (reduction using 2-wise distributions):

- h only needs to be 2-wise independent.
- Take h a random linear function (i.e., a random k -by- n matrix).
- h is 2-wise independent.
- With probability $\geq 1/2$, h isolates x .
- $|h| = n \cdot k$.

PROOF for $A \in P/\text{poly}$ (cont.)

Problem

$k = \lceil \log |A^n| \rceil$, $x \in A^n$.

Find $h : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{k+1}$ that isolates x and $|h|$ is $O(\log n)$.

STEP 1 (reduction using 2-wise distributions):

- h only needs to be 2-wise independent.
- Take h a random linear function (i.e., a random k -by- n matrix).
- h is 2-wise independent.
- With probability $\geq 1/2$, h isolates x .
- $|h| = n \cdot k$.
- We have reduced $|h|$ from $2^n \cdot (k + 1)$ to $n \cdot k$.

PROOF for $A \in P/\text{poly}$ (cont.)

Problem

$k = \lceil \log |A^{=n}| \rceil$, $x \in A^{=n}$.

Find $h : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^k$ that isolates x and $|h|$ is $O(\log n)$.

STEP 2 (reduction using pseudo-random generators - p.r.g.):

PROOF for $A \in P/\text{poly}$ (cont.)

Problem

$k = \lceil \log |A^n| \rceil$, $x \in A^n$.

Find $h : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^k$ that isolates x and $|h|$ is $O(\log n)$.

STEP 2 (reduction using pseudo-random generators - p.r.g.):

- A p.r.g. that fools a class of sets \mathcal{C} ;

$$g : \{0, 1\}^{c \log m} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m, \text{ computable in poly. time in } m$$

such that for every $B \in \mathcal{C}$

$$\text{Prob}_{s \in \{0, 1\}^{c \log m}} [g(s) \in B] \approx_{\epsilon} \text{Prob}_{u \in \{0, 1\}^m} [u \in B].$$

- No set in \mathcal{C} can distinguish between an output of g and a uniformly generated string.

PROOF for $A \in P/\text{poly}$ (cont.)

- $B = \{h \mid h \text{ linear and } h \text{ does not isolate } x\}$

PROOF for $A \in P/\text{poly}$ (cont.)

- $B = \{h \mid h \text{ linear and } h \text{ does not isolate } x\}$
- B is in NP/poly.

PROOF for $A \in P/\text{poly}$ (cont.)

- $B = \{h \mid h \text{ linear and } h \text{ does not isolate } x\}$
- B is in NP/poly.
- Suppose we have a p.r.g. $g : \{0, 1\}^{c \log n} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{kn}$ that fools NP/poly sets.
- g fools B .

PROOF for $A \in P/\text{poly}$ (cont.)

- $B = \{h \mid h \text{ linear and } h \text{ does not isolate } x\}$
- B is in NP/poly.
- Suppose we have a p.r.g. $g : \{0, 1\}^{c \log n} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{kn}$ that fools NP/poly sets.
- g fools B .
- \overline{B} is large, so for many s , $g(s) \in \overline{B}$.

PROOF for $A \in P/\text{poly}$ (cont.)

- $B = \{h \mid h \text{ linear and } h \text{ does not isolate } x\}$
- B is in NP/poly.
- Suppose we have a p.r.g. $g : \{0, 1\}^{c \log n} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{kn}$ that fools NP/poly sets.
- g fools B .
- \overline{B} is large, so for many s , $g(s) \in \overline{B}$.
- For some seed s (actually for many seeds), $g(s)$ is an h that isolates x .
- Thus we can compute h from s which has $O(\log n)$ bits.

PROOF for $A \in P/\text{poly}$ (cont.)

- $B = \{h \mid h \text{ linear and } h \text{ does not isolate } x\}$
- B is in NP/poly.
- Suppose we have a p.r.g. $g : \{0, 1\}^{c \log n} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{kn}$ that fools NP/poly sets.
- g fools B .
- \overline{B} is large, so for many s , $g(s) \in \overline{B}$.
- For some seed s (actually for many seeds), $g(s)$ is an h that isolates x .
- Thus we can compute h from s which has $O(\log n)$ bits.
- This is exactly what we need.

Pseudo random generators

- How do we get a p.r.g.?

Pseudo random generators

- How do we get a p.r.g.?
- Start with a function f computable in $E = \cup_c \text{DTIME}[2^{cn}]$ that is **hard**.
- How hard? Depends on what sets do we want the p.r.g. to fool.

Pseudo random generators

- How do we get a p.r.g.?
- Start with a function f computable in $E = \cup_c \text{DTIME}[2^{cn}]$ that is **hard**.
- How hard? Depends on what sets do we want the p.r.g. to fool.
- To fool sets in NP/poly we need an f that requires circuits with SAT gates of size $2^{\epsilon n}$, for some $\epsilon > 0$.

Pseudo random generators

- How do we get a p.r.g.?
- Start with a function f computable in $E = \cup_c \text{DTIME}[2^{cn}]$ that is **hard**.
- How hard? Depends on what sets do we want the p.r.g. to fool.
- To fool sets in NP/poly we need an f that requires circuits with SAT gates of size $2^{\epsilon n}$, for some $\epsilon > 0$.
- The output of f is somewhat unpredictable, but the p.r.g. requirements are much more demanding.
- Using lots of clever ideas (Nisan, Wigderson, Impagliazzo, Sudan, Trevisan, Vadhan, Klivans, van Melkebeek) from f one can construct a p.r.g g that fools NP/poly.

Pseudo random generators

- How do we get a p.r.g.?
- Start with a function f computable in $E = \cup_c \text{DTIME}[2^{cn}]$ that is **hard**.
- How hard? Depends on what sets do we want the p.r.g. to fool.
- To fool sets in NP/poly we need an f that requires circuits with SAT gates of size $2^{\epsilon n}$, for some $\epsilon > 0$.
- The output of f is somewhat unpredictable, but the p.r.g. requirements are much more demanding.
- Using lots of clever ideas (Nisan, Wigderson, Impagliazzo, Sudan, Trevisan, Vadhan, Klivans, van Melkebeek) from f one can construct a p.r.g g that fools NP/poly.
- Assumption H: There exists a function f computable in E that for some $\epsilon > 0$ cannot be computed by circuits with SAT gates of size $2^{\epsilon n}$.
- $H \Rightarrow$ p.r.g. that fools NP/poly \Rightarrow sets in P/poly can be compressed optimally.

Our result

Assumption H: There exists a function f computable in E that for some $\epsilon > 0$ cannot be computed by circuits with SAT gates of size $2^{\epsilon n}$.

Theorem

Assume H. For any set A in P/poly, there exists a polynomial p such that for every $x \in A$

$$CD^{p,A}(x) \leq \log |A^{=n}| + O(\log n)$$

- Similar results for sets in P, NP, Σ_k^P , PSPACE/poly.

- Similar results for sets in P, NP, Σ_k^P , PSPACE/poly.
- For PSPACE/poly

Theorem

Assume there exists a function f computable in E but not in $DSPACE[2^{o(n)}]$. For any set A in PSPACE/poly, there exists a polynomial p such that for every $x \in A$

$$CD^{p,A}(x) \leq \log |A^{=n}| + O(\log n)$$

- Pseudo-random generators based on similar assumptions have been used before in resource-bounded Kolmogorov complexity.
- (Antunes, Fortnow, 2009) If hardness assumption holds, then $m^p(x) = 2^{-C^p(x)}$ is universal among P-samplable distributions.

For any P-samplable distribution σ , there is a polynomial p such that $C^p(x) \leq \log 1/\sigma(x) + O(\log n)$.

- (Antunes, Fortnow, Pinto, Souza, 2007) Computational depth cannot grow fast.

How to show $P \neq NP$

How to show $P \neq NP$

Find a set A such that

- (1) $CD^{\text{poly}, A}(x) \geq 2 \log |A^{=n}|$, for some $x \in A$ (like [Buhrman, Laplante, Miltersen])
- (2) $CD^{\text{poly}, \Sigma_k^P \oplus A}(x) \leq (2 - \epsilon) \log |A^{=n}|$, for all $x \in A$

Then, $\Sigma_k^P \neq P$.

How to show $P \neq NP$

Find a set A such that

- (1) $CD^{\text{poly}, A}(x) \geq 2 \log |A^{=n}|$, for some $x \in A$ (like [Buhrman, Laplante, Miltersen])
- (2) $CD^{\text{poly}, \Sigma_k^P \oplus A}(x) \leq (2 - \epsilon) \log |A^{=n}|$, for all $x \in A$

Then, $\Sigma_k^P \neq P$.

It is reasonable to try A in the Polynomial Hierarchy.

But $PH \subseteq PSPACE$, so (1) will not succeed.

So look for A outside $PSPACE$.

Thank you.